A CHARACTERIZATION OF A STANDARD TORUS IN E³

KATSUHIRO SHIOHAMA & RYOICHI TAKAGI

0. Introduction

Let M be a two dimensional, connected, complete and orientable Riemannian manifold of class C^{∞} , and $\iota: M \to E^3$ be an isometric immersion of M into a Euclidean three space. The purpose of the present paper is to find some conditions for M to be congruent to a standard torus in E^3 ; by a standard torus in E^3 we mean a surface of revolution defined by

$$x = (a + b \cos u) \cos v$$
, $y = (a + b \cos u) \sin v$, $z = b \sin u$,
 $a > b > 0, 0 \le u < 2\pi, 0 \le v < 2\pi$,

which we shall denote by T(a, b). One of the properties of a standard torus is that one of its principal curvatures is constant everywhere. There are a lot of classes of surfaces with such property, for example, sphere, right circular cylinder, standard torus, etc.. A characterization of a standard torus seems to be more complicated than those of a sphere or right circular cylinder under the condition that one of the principal curvatures is constant everywhere, since a standard torus has non-constant mean curvature and its Gaussian curvature changes sign. The authors were inspired on this subject by one of the problems stated by Willmore in [4], and were informed of this problem by Professor M. Obata.

Problem (Willmore [4]). Let $\iota: M \to E^3$ be an imbedding of a compact and orientable manifold M of genus 1 into E^3 , and H be the mean curvature of $\iota(M)$ with respect to the induced metric from E^3 . Then, does the following equality hold?

$$\inf_{i} \int_{I(\mathcal{Y})} H^2 dA = 2\pi^2 ,$$

where dA denotes the area element of M and t ranges over all imbeddings of M into E^3 .

The main theorem of the present paper gives a partial solution to the above problem, and can be stated as follows:

Received July 8, 1969.

Main theorem. Let M be a two-dimensional, connected, compact and orientable Riemannian manifold of class C^{∞} and nonzero genus, and $\iota: M \to E^3$ be an isometric immersion. Suppose that one of the principal curvatures of $\iota(M)$ is a constant R everywhere. Then we have

$$\int\limits_M H^2 \circ \iota dA \geq 2\pi^2 ,$$

where the equality holds if and only if ι is an imbedding, and $\iota(M)$ is congruent to the standard torus $T(\sqrt{2}/|R|, 1/|R|)$.

In $\S 2$ we shall classify the surfaces satisfying that one of the principal curvatures is a constant everywhere, and a proof of the main theorem will be given in $\S 3$.

The authors wish to express their gratitude to Professor H. Nakagawa for his valuable advice about the treatment of differential equations (2.5) in §2, and to Professor M. Obata for his constant encouragement.

1. Definitions and notation

Throughout this paper let M be a two dimensional, connected, complete and orientable Riemannian manifold of class C^{∞} , and $\iota: M \to E^3$ be an isometric immersion of M into a Euclidean 3-space. When the argument is local in nature, a point $p \in M$ may be identified with $\iota(p)$. Let $\mathscr{F}(M)$ and $\mathscr{F}(E^3)$ be the orthonormal frame bundles on M and E^3 respectively, and B the subset of $\mathscr{F}(E^3)$ defined by $B = \{b = (p, e_1, e_2, e_3) \mid (p, e_1, e_2) \in \mathscr{F}(M), (\iota(p), \iota_*(e_1), \iota_*(e_2), e_3) \in \mathscr{F}(E^3)\}$. Then, $i : B \to \mathscr{F}(E^3)$ is naturally defined by $\iota(b) = (\iota(p), \iota_*(e_1), \iota_*(e_2), e_3)$ where $b = (p, e_1, e_2, e_3)$. We may identify $\iota_*(e_1)$ and $\iota_*(e_2)$ with e_1 and e_2 respectively. The structure equations of E^3 are given by

$$dp = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{3} \tilde{\omega}_{\alpha} e_{\alpha} , \qquad de_{\alpha} = \sum_{\beta=1}^{3} \tilde{\omega}_{\alpha\beta} e_{\beta} ,$$

$$d\tilde{\omega}_{\alpha} = \sum_{\beta=1}^{3} \tilde{\omega}_{\alpha\beta} \wedge \tilde{\omega}_{\beta} , \qquad d\tilde{\omega}_{\alpha\beta} = \sum_{\gamma=1}^{3} \tilde{\omega}_{\alpha\gamma} \wedge \tilde{\omega}_{\gamma\beta} , \qquad \tilde{\omega}_{\alpha\beta} + \tilde{\omega}_{\beta\alpha} = 0 ,$$

where $\tilde{\omega}_{\alpha}$ and $\tilde{\omega}_{\alpha\beta}$ are differential 1-forms on $\mathscr{F}(E^3)$. Putting $\tilde{\iota}^*(\tilde{\omega}_{\alpha}) = \omega_{\alpha}$ and $\tilde{\iota}^*(\tilde{\omega}_{\alpha\beta}) = \omega_{\alpha\beta}$ where $\tilde{\iota}^*$ is the dual map of $\tilde{\iota}_*$, we get

(1.2)
$$\omega_{i3} = 0,$$

$$\omega_{i3} = \sum_{j=1}^{2} h_{ij}\omega_{j}, \quad h_{ij} = h_{ji} \quad (i, j = 1, 2).$$

The quadratic form $\sum h_{ij}\omega_i\omega_j$ is called the second fundamental form of M. A point $x \in M$ is called a umbilical point if the matrix (h_{ij}) takes the form

$$(h_{ij}) = \begin{pmatrix} r & 0 \\ 0 & r \end{pmatrix}$$

at the point, where r is a real number. If a point is not umbilical, there exists a neighborhood all of whose points are not umbilical. In such a neighbourhood, we can take an orthonormal frame field with respect to which (h_{ij}) takes the form

(1.4)
$$(h_{ij}) = \begin{pmatrix} r_1 & 0 \\ 0 & r_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad r_1 > r_2.$$

In a neighborhood containing no umbilical point we always use only such frame field, which can be considered as a local cross section, to be denoted by σ , of M to the bundle space B. For simplicity we identify $\sigma^*\omega_{ij}$ and $\sigma^*\omega_i$ with ω_{ij} and ω_i respectively, i, j = 1, 2, 3. Then, we have

(1.5)
$$d\omega_i = \sum_j \omega_{ij} \wedge \omega_j,$$

$$d\omega_{ij} = \sum_k \omega_{ik} \wedge \omega_{kj} \qquad (i, j, k = 1, 2, 3).$$

Denoting by K and H the Gaussian curvature and the mean curvature of M respectively, we have the following well known formulas

(1.6)
$$K = r_1 \cdot r_2$$
, $2H = r_1 + r_2$.

Since M is orientable, a unit normal vector field e_3 can be globally defined on M. Then we can consider r_1, r_2 as continuous functions on M satisfying $r_1 \ge r_2$, and can reduce the assumption that one of the principal curvatures is everywhere a constant R to one of the following:

(1.7) (i)
$$r_1 \equiv R \ge r_2$$
, (ii) $r_1 \ge r_2 \equiv R$.

Furthermore we may assume that $R \ge 0$ (by replacing the unit normal vector field e_3 by $-e_3$, if necessary). It follows from a theorem of Massey [2] that $\iota(M)$ is a cylinder if R = 0. We shall classify the surfaces with the properties (1.7) and R > 0 in the next section.

2. Surfaces one of whose principal curvatures is a positive constant

First, let M possess the property (i) of (1.7). Later, it will be seen that the discussion on $r_1 \equiv R$ essentially covers the one on $r_2 \equiv R$. Suppose that there is a non-umbilical point p on M. Then, there exists a neighborhood V of p in which every point is nonumbilical. From (1.2) and (1.4) we observe

$$(2.1) \omega_{13} = R\omega_1,$$

(2.2)
$$\omega_{23} = r_2 \omega_2$$
, $R > r_2$,

where r_2 is differentiable on V.

Lemma 1. There are differentiable functions u and f defined on V satisfying

$$(2.3) \omega_{12} = f\omega_2,$$

$$(2.4) \omega_{i} = du.$$

Proof. Taking exterior differentiation of (2.1) and making use of the structure equations (1.5), we have

$$Rd\omega_1 = R\omega_{12} \wedge \omega_2 = r_2\omega_{12} \wedge \omega_2$$
.

Since $R - r_2 \neq 0$, we have $\omega_{12} \wedge \omega_2 = 0$ and $d\omega_1 = 0$, from which the Lemma follows.

Taking exterior differentiation of (2.2) and (2.3) and making use of the structure equations (1.5) again, along every integral curve of e_1 we get

(2.5)
$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial r_2/\partial u = f(R - r_2)}{\partial f/\partial u = -(Rr_2 + f^2)}, \end{aligned}$$

which imply

$$(R-r_2)\frac{\partial^2 r_2}{\partial u^2} + 2\left(\frac{\partial r_2}{\partial u}\right)^2 + Rr_2(R-r_2)^2 = 0$$
,

or

(2.6)
$$\phi\left(\frac{\partial^2\phi}{\partial u^2} + R^2\phi - R\right) = 0,$$

where we have put

$$\phi = 1/(R - r_2) .$$

By solving (2.6) and making use of (2.7), (2.5) we thus have

(2.8)
$$r_2 = \frac{R(a\cos Ru + b\sin Ru)}{a\cos Ru + b\sin Ru + 1/R},$$

$$f = \frac{R(-a\sin Ru + b\cos Ru)}{a\cos Ru + b\sin Ru + 1/R}.$$

Lemma 2. Every integral curve γ of e_1 in V is a geodesic of M, and moreover is a part of a circle of radius 1/R.

Proof. From (2.3) we have $(de_1)(e_1) = \omega_{12}(e_1) \cdot e_1 = 0$, which shows that γ is a geodesic of M. Moreover we have along γ ,

$$dp = e_1 du$$
,
 $de_1 = Re_3 du$,
 $de_3 = -Re_1 du$,
 $de_2 = 0$.

Therefore γ is a part of a circle of radius 1/R. q.e.d.

Suppose again that there is a non-umbilical point p on M. From now on V_0 denotes the set of all non-umbilical points on M, and V is the connected component of V_0 containing p.

Lemma 3. The integral curve γ_p of e_1 through p is a closed geodesic, and is a circle of radius 1/R. Furthermore there does not exist any umbilical point on γ_p .

Proof. By Lemma 2 it is sufficient to show that there is not a sequence $\{u_n\}$ $(n = 1, 2, \dots)$ of parameters of γ_p converging to u_0 such that $\lim_{n \to \infty} \gamma_p(u_n)$ is a umbilical point. Assume that there is such a sequence. From (2.8), we have

$$R - r_2(\gamma_{\nu}(u)) = 1/(a_0 \cos Ru + b_0 \sin Ru + 1/R)$$
,

where a_0, b_0 are constants along γ_p . Noting that $R - r_2$ is a continuous function on M we see

$$\lim_{u\to u_0}[R-r_2(\gamma_p(u))]\neq 0.$$

This fact implies $\lim \gamma_p(u_n) \in V$, which contradicts the assumption.

Proposition 4. Let M be a surface with $r_1 \equiv R \geq r_2$. Then M is either totally umbilical or else umbilic free.

Proof. Suppose that there is a non-umbilical point p on M. For any point $q \in V$ let γ_q denote the integral curve of e_1 which is a closed geodesic. γ_q is a circle of radius 1/R and contained entirely in V by Lemma 3. Since V is open in M, it suffices to show that V is closed in M. Let $\{p_n\}$ $(n=1,2,\cdots)$ be a sequence of points belonging to V such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} p_n = p_0 \in M$, and set $\gamma_n = \gamma_{p_n}$. By completeness of M we can choose a subsequence $\{\bar{\gamma}_n\}$ of $\{\gamma_n\}$ converging to some closed geodesic γ_0 through p_0 . It follows from (2.8) that for each n there exists a point q_n on $\bar{\gamma}_n$ for which $r_2(q_n) = 0$ holds. Then we can choose a subsequence $\{\bar{q}_n\}$ of $\{q_n\}$ converging to a point q_0 on γ_0 . Thus we have $r_2(q_0) = \lim_{n\to\infty} r_2(\bar{q}_n) = 0$ by continuity of r_2 , and hence $q_0 \in V$. This fact together with $\lim_{n\to\infty} \gamma_n = \gamma_0$ implies that the tangent vector of γ_0 at q_0 coincides with $e_1(q_0)$. Thus we have $\gamma_0 = \gamma_{q_0}$, in particular $p_0 \in V$.

Corollary to Proposition 4. Let M be a surface with $r_1 \equiv R \geq r_2$. If there is a umbilical point on M, then M is totally umbilical and hence M is isometric to the sphere $S^2(R)$ of radius 1/R.

Proposition 5. Let M be a surface with $r_1 \equiv R \geq r_2$. If r_2 does not change sign, then $\iota(M)$ is congruent to either $S^2(R)$ or the right circular cylinder $S^1(R) \times E^1$, where $S^1(R)$ is a circle of radius 1/R.

Proof. By virtue of Proposition 4 it suffices that $f \equiv 0$ and $r_1 \equiv 0$ hold if M is umbilic free. Then we may assume that the orthonormal frame field (p, e_1, e_2, e_3) under consideration is globally defined on M. For any point $p \in M$, the integral curve γ_p of e_1 through p is a circle of radius 1/R. From (2.8) we have, along γ_p ,

$$r_2 = \frac{R\sqrt{a^2 + b^2}\sin(Ru + \Phi)}{\sqrt{a^2 + b^2}\sin(Ru + \Phi) + 1/R},$$

where $\cos \Phi = a/\sqrt{a^2+b^2}$, $\sin \Phi = b/\sqrt{a^2+b^2}$. Since u can take all real numbers, r_2 changes sign if $a^2+b^2\neq 0$, from which we must have a=0, b=0. Therefore a and b must vanish identically on M and then we have $f\equiv 0$ and $r_2\equiv 0$. The remainder of proof follows immediately from the structure equations. q.e.d.

Now let M possess the property (ii) of (1.7), i.e., $r_1 \ge r_2 \equiv R > 0$. Also in this case the previous discussions are valid by exchanging the role of r_1 and the one of r_2 mutually. Assume that there is a non-umbilical point p on such M. Then there is a point p_0 on the integral curve of e_2 such that $r_1(p_0) = 0$, which contradicts $r_1 > 0$. Thus we have proved

Proposition 6. If M is a surface with $r_1 \ge r_2 \equiv R$, then M is isometric to $S^2(R)$.

As a contrapositive of Proposition 4, we state that r_2 changes sign if M is neither isometric to a sphere nor to a right circular cylinder. Thus if M is compact, which is not isometric to a sphere, and possesses the property $r_1 \equiv R \geq r_2(R > 0)$, then r_2 changes sign. This case will be dealt with in the next section.

3. A characterization of a standard torus

Throughout this section let $\iota: M \to E^3$ be an isometric immersion of a connected, compact and orientable riemannian manifold M of nonzero genus, and let M possess the property $r_1 \equiv R \geq r_2(R > 0)$. First we shall prove the following

Theorem 7. If ι is an imbedding, then M is diffeomorphic to a standard torus. Proof. We may assume that the orthonormal frame field (p, e_1, e_2, e_3) is globally defined on M. Fix an arbitrary point p of M, and let λ_p be the integral curve of e_2 with $\lambda_p(0) = p$. Then there is a positive number L such that the restriction $\lambda_p \mid [0, L)$ traverses every circle $\gamma_q(q \in M)$ just once and $\lambda_p(L) \in \gamma_p$. Making use of λ_p we can easily construct a simple and closed curve $\tilde{\lambda}_p$: $[0, L] \to M$ which traverses every circle $\gamma_q(q \in M)$ just once. $\tilde{\lambda}_p$ can be considered as a cross section of the base space $\tilde{\lambda}_p([0, L])$ to the circle bundle space M. Hence M is diffeomorphic to a standard torus. q.e.d.

Define an orthonormal frame field $(p, \bar{e}_1, \bar{e}_2)$ on M by $\iota_*(\bar{e}_i) = e_i$, i = 1, 2. Furthermore, define a mapping $\phi \colon M \to E^3$ by $q = \phi(p) = \iota(p) + (1/R)e_3(\iota(p))$. Then we have $dq = dp + (1/R)e_3 = (1 - r_2/R)e_2\omega_2$, which shows that the curve $C(\bar{v}) = \phi(p(\bar{u}, \bar{v}))$ is regular because $r_2 \neq R$, where \bar{u} (resp. \bar{v}) denotes the parameter of some integral curve of \bar{e}_1 (resp. \bar{e}_2). We shall call the curve C the central curve of M. Since M is compact, C is closed (not necessarily simple). It can be seen by a straightforward calculation that $C(\bar{v})$ is a circle, i.e., $\iota(M)$ is congruent to a standard torus if and only if both functions a and b in §2 are nonzero constants. Here we shall estimate $\int_M H^2 \circ \iota dA$. It is evident that the following inequality holds:

$$(3.1) \qquad \int_{M} H^{2} \circ \iota dA \geq \int_{\iota(M)} H^{2} dA ,$$

where the equality holds if and only if there does not exist any open subset W of $\iota(M)$ whose inverse image $\iota^{-1}(W)$ has at least two components.

In order to compute $\int_{c(M)} H^2 dA$, we will use the formulas of Frenet-Serrét (C, ξ_1, ξ_2, ξ_3) for the central curve C. Retake the parameter of C so that it represents arc length from a fixed point on C. Then we obtain an immersion cp of $S^1(1) \times S^1(2\pi/l)$ onto c(M) defined by

$$(\iota p)(u, v) = C(v) + \frac{1}{R}(\xi_2 \cos u + \xi_3 \sin u).$$

Denoting curvature and torsion of C by κ and τ , the formulas of Frenet-Serrét for C are

(3.2)
$$dC = \xi_1 dv ,$$

$$d\xi_1 = \kappa \xi_2 dv ,$$

$$d\xi_2 = -\kappa \xi_1 dv + \tau \xi_3 dv ,$$

$$d\xi_3 = -\tau \xi_2 dv .$$

Then the orthonormal frame field and the basic forms on M can be expressed as

(3.3)
$$e_{1} = -\xi_{2} \sin u + \xi_{3} \cos u,$$

$$e_{2} = \xi_{1},$$

$$e_{3} = -\xi_{2} \cos u - \xi_{3} \sin u;$$

(3.4)
$$\omega_1 = \frac{1}{R} (du + \tau dv) ,$$

$$\omega_2 = \left(1 - \frac{\kappa}{R} \cos u\right) dv .$$

Making use of (3.1) and (3.2), the connection form ω_{12} and other forms of $\iota(M)$ can be expressed as

(3.5)
$$\omega_{12} = \frac{R\kappa \sin u}{R - \kappa \cos u} \omega_2 ,$$

$$\omega_{13} = R\omega_1 ,$$

$$\omega_{23} = \frac{R\kappa \cos u}{R - \kappa \cos u} \omega_2 .$$

Form (2.2), (3.4) and (3.5) we have $r_2 = \frac{-R\kappa \cos u}{R - \kappa \cos u}$. Thus,

$$4(H^2-K)=\frac{R^4}{(R-\kappa\cos u)^2}.$$

From (3.4) the area element dA of $\iota(M)$ is given by

(3.6)
$$\omega_1 \wedge \omega_2 = \frac{1}{R^2} (R - \kappa \cos u) du \wedge dv.$$

On the other hand, the Gauss-Bonnet theorem implies

(3.7)
$$\int_{a(y)} KdA = 4\pi(1-g) = 0.$$

Taking account of these facts, we have

$$(3.8) \quad 4 \int_{\iota(M)} H^2 dA = \int_{\iota(M)} 4(H^2 - K) dA = \int_0^1 \left\{ \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{R^2}{R - \kappa \cos u} du \right\} dv ,$$

where l denotes the length of the central curve C.

Since (3.4) implies necessarily $|\kappa| < R$ and κ depends only on v, we find

(3.9)
$$\int_{C(N)} H^2 dA = \frac{\pi R^2}{2} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{dv}{\sqrt{R^2 - \kappa^2}}.$$

By virtue of the Schwarz's inequality, we have

$$(3.10) \qquad \int_{0}^{1} \frac{dv}{\sqrt{R^2 - \kappa^2}} \ge l^2 / \int_{0}^{1} \sqrt{R^2 - \kappa^2} dv.$$

Recalling the inequality, we have

$$\left(\int_0^t \sqrt{R^2 - \kappa^2} \, dv\right)^2 \le R^2 l^2 - \left(\int_0^t \kappa dv\right)^2.$$

Here by virtue of the generalized Fenchel's theorem according to Milnor [3], we have

where the equality holds if and only if C is a convex curve in a plane. Combining the inequalities (3.1), (3.10), (3.11) and (3.12), we obtain

$$\int_{M} H^2 \circ \iota dA \geq \frac{R^2 l^2 \pi}{2 \sqrt{R^2 l^2 - 4\pi^2}} ,$$

where the equality holds if and only if the equalities in (3.1), (3.10), (3.11) and (3.12) hold simultaneously. In other words, C is a circle of radius $l/(2\pi)$ and ϵ is an imbedding. Summing up the above results, we can state as follows:

Theorem 8. Let M be a two-dimensional, connected, compact and orientable Riemannian manifold of nonzero genus, and $\iota: M \to E^3$ be an isometric immersion. Suppose that one of the principal curvatures of M is a positive constant R everywhere, and let l be the length of the central curve of M. Then we have

$$\int\limits_V H^2 \circ \iota dA \geq \frac{R^2 l^2 \pi}{2 \sqrt{R^2 l^2 - 4 \pi^2}},$$

where the equality holds if and only if ι is an imbedding, and $\iota(M)$ is congruent to a standard torus $T(l/(2\pi), 1/R)$.

Proof of main theorem. Considering the right hand side of the inequality in Theorem 8 as a function of Rl, we can easily see that it attains the minimum $2\pi^2$ for $Rl = 2\sqrt{2\pi}$, and hence our main theorem follows from Theorem 8.

References

- W. Fenchel, Über Krümmung und Windung geschlossener Raumkurven, Math. Ann. 101 (1929) 238-252.
- [2] W. S. Massey, Surfaces of Gaussian curvature zero in Euclidean 3-space, Tôhoku Math. J. 14 (1962) 73-79.
- [3] J. W. Milnor, On the total curvature of knots, Ann. of Math. 52 (1950) 248-257.
- [4] T. J. Willmore, Note on embedded surfaces, An. Şti. Univ. "Al. I. Cuza" Iaşi Şect. I a Mat. 11 (1965) 493-496.
- [5] —, Mean curvature of immersed surfaces, An. Şti. Univ. "Al. I. Cuza" Iaşi Şect. I a Mat. 14 (1968) 99-103.

TOKYO INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF EDUCATION